# ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Russell & Norvig Chapter 3: Solving Problems by Searching, part 3

# Informed (heuristic) search

- Greedy best-first search
- A\* search
  - Optimality
  - Admissibility
  - Consistency
  - Controlling memory needs
- Heuristic functions

# Informed search

- Uses problem-specific knowledge beyond the definition of the problem itself
- Finds solutions more efficiently than uninformed searches
- Greedy best-first
  - Heuristic h(n) is estimated cost of the cheapest path from node n to a goal state
  - Greedy best-first uses h(n) to choose next node to expand
  - Consider straight-line distance in Romania

#### Romania with SLD











#### Problems with best-first

- Greedy best-first search is not optimal
  - Path found in Romania was Arad→Sibiu→Fagaras→Bucharest, which has path cost 140+99+211 = 450 km
  - Better path would be Arad→Sibiu→RV→Pitesti→Bucharest, which has path cost 140+80+97+101 = 418 km (32 km closer)
- It is also incomplete (consider searching for path from lasi to Fagaras) in tree-based search
- Worst-case time and space complexity is O(b<sup>m</sup>) where m is the maximum depth of the search space
- Actual performance depends on quality of heuristic

# A\* search (common best-first search)

- Uses evaluation function
   f(n)=g(n)+h(n)
- g(n) is path cost to node n
- h(n) is estimated cheapest path from node n to a goal
- f(n) is estimated cost of cheapest solution through node n



# More A\*

- Identical to Uniform cost search except A\* uses g+h instead of g
- When heuristic function h(n) satisfies some conditions, A\* is both complete and optimal.















# Admissible heuristics

- A heuristic h(n) is admissible if for every node n,
   h(n) ≤ h<sup>\*</sup>(n), where h<sup>\*</sup>(n) is the *true* cost to reach the goal state from n.
- An admissible heuristic *never overestimates* the cost to reach the goal, i.e., it is **optimistic**
- Example: h<sub>SLD</sub>(n) (never overestimates the actual road distance)
- Theorem: If *h(n)* is admissible, A<sup>\*</sup> using TREE-SEARCH is optimal

# Optimality of A<sup>\*</sup> (proof)

Suppose some suboptimal goal G<sub>2</sub> has been generated and is in the fringe. Let n be an unexpanded node in the fringe such that n is on a shortest path to an optimal goal G.



- $f(G_2) = g(G_2)$  since  $h(G_2) = 0$
- $g(G_2) > g(G)$  since  $G_2$  is suboptimal
- f(G) = g(G) since h(G) = 0
- $f(G_2) > f(G)$  from above
- h(n) ≤ h\*(n)
- since h is admissible
- $g(n) + h(n) \le g(n) + h^{*}(n)$
- $f(n) \leq f(G)$

Hence  $f(G_2) > f(n)$ , and A<sup>\*</sup> will never select G<sub>2</sub> for expansion

# **Consistent heuristics**

 A heuristic is consistent if for every node n, every successor n' of n generated by any action a,

 $h(n) \leq c(n,a,n') + h(n')$ 

- If *h* is consistent, we have
- $\begin{array}{ll} f(n') &= g(n') + h(n') \\ &= g(n) + c(n,a,n') + h(n') \\ &\geq g(n) + h(n) \\ &= f(n) \end{array}$
- i.e., *f(n)* is non-decreasing along any path.
- Theorem: If h(n) is consistent, A\* using GRAPH-SEARCH is optimal



# Optimality of A\*

- A\* expands nodes in order of increasing *f* value
- Gradually adds "f-contours" of nodes
- Contour *i* has all nodes with  $f=f_i$ , where  $f_i < f_{i+1}$

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Properties of A\*

- It is complete, unless there are infinitely many nodes with f ≤ f(G)
- It is optimal.
- It is optimally efficient, that is, no other optimal algorithm is guaranteed to expand fewer nodes than A\* (except on tie-break among nodes with f(n)=cost of optimal path)

#### Unfortunately

- space is a problem—keeps all nodes in memory
- Time is typically exponential since number of states in "goal contour" is usually exponential in the length of the solution
- Book has a couple A\* variations that attempt to restrict space usage

# Admissible heuristics for 8-puzzle

- $h_1(n)$  = number of misplaced tiles
- $h_2(n)$  = total Manhattan distance

(i.e., no. of squares from desired location of each tile)

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

Goal State

•  $h_1(S) = ? 8$ •  $h_2(S) = ? 3+1+2+2+3+3+2 = 18$ 

# Dominance

- If  $h_2(n) \ge h_1(n)$  for all n (both admissible)
- then  $h_2$  dominates  $h_1$
- $h_2$  is better for search
- Typical search costs (average number of nodes expanded):
- depth=12
   IDS generates 3644035 nodes A\*(h1) generates 227 nodes A\*(h2) generates 73 nodes
- *depth=24*

IDS generates too many nodes!  $A^*(h_1)$  generates 39135 nodes  $A^*(h_2)$  generates 1641 nodes